

## RAIL SAFETY INVESTIGATION REPORT

### DERAILMENT OF FREIGHT AUSTRALIA LIMITED CEMENT SERVICE 4VM9 BETHUNGRA

22 DECEMBER 2004



# RAIL SAFETY INVESTIGATION REPORT

---

DERAILMENT OF FREIGHT AUSTRALIA LIMITED  
CEMENT SERVICE 4VM9  
BETHUNGRA

22 DECEMBER 2004

**OTSI File Ref:** 03262

**Date:** 28 April 2006

**Office of Transport Safety Investigations  
Level 21, 201 Elizabeth Street  
Sydney NSW 2000**

The Office of Transport Safety Investigations (OTSI) is an independent NSW agency whose purpose is to improve transport safety through the investigation of accidents and incidents in the rail, bus and ferry industries.

Established on 1 January 2004 by the *Transport Administration Act 1988*, the Office is responsible for determining the causes and contributing factors of accidents and to make recommendations for the implementation of remedial safety action to prevent recurrence.

OTSI investigations are conducted under powers conferred by the *Rail Safety Act 2002* and the *Passenger Transport Act 1990*. OTSI investigators normally seek to obtain information cooperatively when conducting an accident investigation. However, where it is necessary to do so, OTSI investigators may exercise statutory powers to interview persons, enter premises and examine and retain physical and documentary evidence. Where OTSI investigators exercise their powers of compulsion, information so obtained cannot be used by other agencies in any subsequent civil or criminal action against those persons providing information.

OTSI investigation reports are submitted to the Minister for Transport for tabling in both Houses of Parliament. Following tabling, OTSI reports are published on its website [www.otsi.nsw.gov.au](http://www.otsi.nsw.gov.au).

OTSI's investigative responsibilities do not extend to overseeing the implementation of recommendations it makes in its investigation reports. OTSI monitors the extent to which its recommendations have been accepted and acted upon through consultation with the relevant Transport Safety Regulator.

Information about OTSI is available on its website or from its offices at:

Level 21, 201 Elizabeth Street  
Sydney NSW 2000  
Tel: (02) 8263 7100

PO Box A2616  
Sydney South NSW 1235

The Office of Transport Safety Investigations also provides a Confidential Safety Information Reporting facility for rail, bus and ferry industry employees. The CSIRS reporting telephone number is 1800 180 828.

# CONTENTS

---

<b>TABLE OF FIGURES</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF PHOTOS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>PART 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
Notification and Response	1
Initiation of Investigation	1
Interim Factual Statement	1
Terms of Reference	2
Methodology	2
Consultation	2
Investigation Report	3
<b>PART 2 FACTUAL INFORMATION</b>	<b>4</b>
Accident Synopsis	4
Accident Narrative	4
Site Location	7
Track Information	8
Operations Information	9
Weather Conditions	9
Loss, Damage and Environmental Matters	10
<b>PART 3 ANALYSIS</b>	<b>11</b>
Crew Information	11
Train and Train Management	11
Emergency Response	11
Factors Affecting Track Condition	12
Inspection and Maintenance Requirements	13
Actual Track Condition	14
Track Inspection and Maintenance Regimes	19
Transfer of Responsibility & Knowledge from RIC to ARTC	20
<b>PART 4 FINDINGS</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>PART 5 RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>25</b>
Australian Rail Track Corporation	25
Pacific National Limited	25
Independent Transport Safety and Reliability Regulator	25

## TABLE OF FIGURES

---

Figure 1	Bethungra Incident Location	7
Figure 2	Curve and Gradient Diagram of Down Track.	8

## TABLE OF PHOTOS

---

Cover	The position at which 4VM9 came to rest after the derailment.	
Photo 1	Looking towards the derailment site from the probable point at which the driver applied the emergency brakes.	6
Photo 2	Incident site indicating proximity of derailed wagons and debris to the Up line.	6
Photo 3	Position of front automatic coupler indicating the extent of lateral forces.	10
Photo 4	Impact marks on leading bogie of T388 indicating degree of bogie/body movement.	10
Photo 5	Impact marks on leading bogie and damage to piping of air brake system of T409.	10
Photo 6	Incident site looking towards Sydney showing extent of track damage.	15
Photo 7	Indications of rail creep at 453.490kms.	16
Photo 8	Apex point of misalignment found after the incident.	17

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

## The Accident

At approximately 8:05pm (ESDT)<sup>1</sup> on 22 December 2004, freight service 4VM9, operated by Freight Australia Limited (FAL)<sup>2</sup>, derailed whilst descending the grade at Bethungra, on the main South line (part of the Defined Interstate Rail Network (DIRN)) between Cootamundra and Junee in the Southern Region of NSW. 4VM9 consisted of four locomotives and 11 loaded bulk cement wagons and was enroute from Berrima to Melbourne. All 11 wagons from the train and 288 metres of track were severely damaged as a result of the incident. There were no reported injuries.

## Findings

In relation to those matters prescribed by the Terms of Reference as the principal lines of inquiry, OTSI finds as follows:

### a. Causation:

- i. 4VM9 derailed when it traversed an area of misaligned and unstable track.
- ii. The derailment occurred where the rail had been stressed for a considerable period of time and that track resurfacing work 16 days prior to the derailment would have exacerbated this stress.
- iii. The initiation of emergency braking, at a speed 6km/h in excess of the posted speed limit, when the misalignment first became apparent, would have imparted additional stress to the track. However, the magnitude of this stress would not have been significant had the track been stable and properly aligned.

### b. Anticipation and Management of Risk:

- i. Two of the primary defences designed to prevent such occurrences, track inspections and track maintenance, failed over time. A third defence of managing an area with known deficiencies through the imposition of speed limits, was not employed.
- ii. There were clear indications available to the NSW Rail Infrastructure Corporation (RIC), and subsequently the Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC), to suggest track instability in the area where the derailment occurred, but that these indicators were either unnoticed or not acted upon.

---

<sup>1</sup> Times quoted in this report are Australian Eastern Summer Daylight Time.

<sup>2</sup> Freight Australia Limited is owned by Pacific National Limited.

- iii. Track inspection records for the previous 12 months, compiled by RIC and ARTC, implied that there were few problems within the section in which the derailment occurred. However, inspections of a relatively small area within the section by the Office of Transport Safety Investigations (OTSI), revealed that there were significant defects and that these were not a recent phenomena, suggesting that both RIC's and ARTC's inspections had been less than thorough.
- iv. The transition of assets, records and systems from RIC to ARTC was problematic and that ARTC did not enjoy good visibility of the condition of the asset at the time of handover of responsibility, or indeed at the time of the derailment.
- v. As at 22 February 2006, readily identifiable defects continued to exist in the area in which this derailment occurred.

**c. Effectiveness of the Emergency Response:**

- i. The actions of 4VM9's crew after the derailment were both timely and effective.
- ii. Junee Control did not observe the requirement, in ARTC Network Rules (ANTR 400), to send an emergency broadcast message about the incident to alert other train drivers in their area of control.
- iii. The standard of communications employed by Junee Control did not conform to the requirements stipulated in Network Rule ANGE 204 and Network Procedure ANTR 721.

**d. Other Matters that would Enhance the Safety of Rail Operations:**

- i. 4VM9's data-loggers were not fully functional on the day of the accident. This is a further example of an observation OTSI has made that rail operators in NSW are paying insufficient attention to the requirement to ensure data loggers/event recorders on board their locomotives are properly fitted and are regularly inspected, serviced and calibrated.
- ii. The Independent Transport Safety and Reliability Regulator (ITSRR) does not have sufficient visibility of the condition of the track and related infrastructure in NSW and that, whilst it can and does undertake a range of actions to gain such visibility, the onus for providing ITSRR with such visibility should largely rest with those responsible for its operation, i.e., ARTC, RailCorp and CountryRIC.

- iii. The phased transition of responsibility from RIC to ARTC continues and the attendant risks are of a magnitude which suggests that milestones and changes to plans, systems, policies and procedures should be subject to close oversight by ITSRR.

## **Recommendations**

In order to prevent a recurrence of this type of accident, the following remedial safety actions are recommended for implementation by the organisations specified below:

### **a. Australian Rail Track Corporation:**

- i. Provide an assurance to ITSRR that all track related defects in the area of the derailment have been fully repaired.
- ii. Review the competencies and training of those responsible for the conduct of track inspections and track maintenance to ensure that:
  - (1) track inspections are conducted in the scheduled timeframes and track maintenance is conducted in the prescribed manner, and
  - (2) staff can accurately recognise and interpret track related deficiencies and defects.
- iii. Review the competencies and training of those responsible for the interpretation of data obtained from track inspections and the WTSA (Welded Track Stability Analysis) process to ensure that risk can be appropriately identified, categorised and managed.
- iv. Audit inspection and maintenance programs to ensure that they are timely and comprehensive, and are performed to specified standards.
- v. Improve formal communication procedures at Junee Control, and elsewhere as required.
- vi. Reinforce the requirements of Network Rule ANTR 400 to ensure emergency broadcast messages are made immediately after incidents are reported, where appropriate.

### **b. Pacific National Limited:**

- i. Ensure that event recorders are properly fitted to its locomotives and that they are regularly inspected, maintained and calibrated.



**c. Independent Transport Safety and Reliability Regulator:**

- i. Require infrastructure operators to provide it with an annual report identifying the condition of track and signalling related assets they operate on behalf of the Government of NSW.
- ii. Require ARTC to provide an annual report identifying the status of the various programs by which it will assume full responsibility for the operation and maintenance of assets transferred from RIC.
- iii. Monitor ARTC's track management systems and maintenance plans to ensure compliance with the related standards and accreditation requirements.
- iv. Reinforce the requirement for rolling stock operators in NSW to have properly fitted and regularly inspected, serviced and calibrated event recorders in their locomotives.

## PART 1 INTRODUCTION

---

### Notification and Response

- 1.1 At 9:05pm on Wednesday 22 December 2004, both ARTC and Pacific National notified the Office of Transport Safety Investigations (OTSI) Duty Officer that FAL freight service 4VM9 had derailed at Bethungra at approximately 8:05pm.
- 1.2 As the incident occurred on the Defined Interstate Rail Network (DIRN), OTSI notified the Australian Transport Safety Bureau<sup>3</sup> (ATSB) of the incident, in accordance with established protocols. However, due to workload constraints at the time, ATSB sought OTSI's assistance to investigate the incident under s67 of the *NSW Rail Safety Act 2002*.
- 1.3 Based on the information provided by the reporters and ATSB's request, the Chief Investigator directed the deployment of OTSI Investigators to the incident site. The Investigators deployed by motor vehicle and arrived at the incident site at 11:30am on 23 December 2004 where they commenced the inspection, assessment and evidence collection process.
- 1.4 OTSI Investigators released the incident site for recovery and repair at 3:55pm on 23 December 2004.

### Initiation of Investigation

- 1.5 As a result of the primary evidence collected by OTSI investigators at the incident site, the Chief Investigator initiated a Rail Safety Investigation in accordance with s67 of the *Rail Safety Act 2002*.

### Interim Factual Statement

- 1.6 On 24 December 2004, the Chief Investigator notified all Directly Involved Parties (DIP) that OTSI was investigating the derailment and requested that each organisation nominate an officer to act as the point of contact for all inquiries made by the appointed OTSI Investigator in Charge. The Terms of Reference for the Investigation were provided to the DIPs with this notification.
- 1.7 An Interim Factual Statement notifying OTSI's investigation and describing the incident in terms of what had happened was published on the OTSI website on 5 January 2005.

---

<sup>3</sup> The ATSB is a Federal Government Authority with powers under the Federal *Transport Safety Investigation Act 2003* to investigate rail incidents on the Defined Interstate Rail Network.

## Terms of Reference

- 1.8 The Chief Investigator established the following Terms of Reference to determine why the accident had occurred and what to do to prevent recurrence:
- a. identify the factors, both primary and contributory, which caused the accident;
  - b. identify whether the accident might have been anticipated and assess the effectiveness of the risk management strategies of the respective organisations involved;
  - c. assess the effectiveness of emergency actions in response to the accident, and
  - d. advise on any matters arising from the investigation that would enhance the safety of rail operations.

## Methodology

- 1.9 OTSI utilises the ICAM (Incident Cause Analysis Method) approach in the conduct of its investigations and applies the Reason Model of Active Failures and Latent Conditions to its analysis of causative and contributory factors.
- 1.10 The underlying feature of the methodology is the Just Culture principle with its focus on safety outcomes rather than the attribution of blame or liability.

## Consultation

- 1.11 On 14 March 2006, a copy of the investigation Draft Report was forwarded to ARTC, PNL and ITSRR. The purpose was to provide these DIPs with the opportunity to contribute to the compilation of this Final Report by verifying the factual information, scrutinising the analysis, findings and recommendations, and providing any commentary that would enhance the structure, substance, integrity and resilience of the Investigation Report. DIPs were requested to submit their comments by 28 March 2006. Submissions were received from all three DIPs.
- 1.12 The Chief Investigator considered all representations made by DIPs and where appropriate, reflected their advice in this Final Report. On 24 April 2006, the Chief Investigator informed DIPs which matters from their submissions had been incorporated in this Final Report and where any proposal was not included, the reasons for not doing so.

## **Investigation Report**

- 1.13 This report describes the derailment which occurred at Bethungra on 22 December 2004 and explains why it occurred. The recommendations that are made are designed to contribute to the safe operating environment for rolling stock operators and to minimise the potential for a recurrence of this type of accident.

## PART 2 FACTUAL INFORMATION

---

### Accident Synopsis

- 2.1 At approximately 8:05pm (ESDT)<sup>4</sup> on 22 December 2004, freight service 4VM9, operated by Freight Australia Limited (FAL)<sup>5</sup>, derailed whilst descending the grade at Bethungra, on the main South line, part of the Defined Interstate Rail Network (DIRN) between Cootamundra and Junee in the Southern Region of NSW. 4VM9 consisted of four locomotives and 11 loaded bulk cement wagons and was enroute from Berrima to Melbourne. All 11 wagons from the train and 288 metres of track were severely damaged as a result of the incident. There were no reported injuries.

### Accident Narrative

#### Before the Derailment

- 2.2 4VM9, consisting of a single locomotive (G528) and 11 wagons, was loaded at the Blue Circle Southern Cement Works at Berrima in the NSW Southern Highlands. It was destined for Somerton, Melbourne. After arriving at Goulburn, the crew changed and marshalled three additional locomotives (X48, T388 and T409), that were required to be returned to Melbourne, into the consist. 4VM9 then departed Goulburn at 4:32pm.
- 2.3 The crew described their journey from Goulburn to the top of the Bethungra grade as relatively uneventful, although they noted that the engine of locomotive T409 had shut down between Yass and Bowning without indication, but that it had been restarted without difficulty.
- 2.4 As 4VM9 reached the top of the Bethungra grade, the driver reported that he had engaged full dynamic braking<sup>6</sup> as was his normal practice to control the train's speed during the descent.
- 2.5 Whilst the speed of the track for the descent was 80km/h, the driver recollected that he had allowed the train speed to drift to approximately 84km/h under dynamic braking. Information from a data logger subsequently indicated the 4MV9 reached a speed of 86km/h during the descent. Whilst admitting to exceeding the posted speed limit, the driver further stated that he anticipated that as the track levelled near the

---

<sup>4</sup> Times quoted in this report are Australian Eastern Summer Daylight Time.

<sup>5</sup> Freight Australia Limited is a fully owned subsidiary of Pacific National Limited

<sup>6</sup> Dynamic braking is a wear reduction feature on various locomotives that reverses the operation of the electrical traction motors that drive the locomotive wheels to electrical generators. During dynamic braking, the electrical current generated by rotation of the wheels and traction motors is dissipated as heat through an electrical resistor bank to provide retardation or a braking effect on the rotation of the locomotive wheels.

454 kilometre post, the train's speed would reduce to 80km/h. The driver indicated that he was prepared to apply the train's brakes in the event that dynamic braking was insufficient.

## **The Derailment**

- 2.6 At approximately 8:05pm, 4VM9 rounded a left-hand curve and came onto a straight track section immediately prior to the incident site (See *Photo 1*). Both crew members stated that they then observed a track misalignment of approximately 250mm-300mm, towards the Down or Eastern side of the track just past the 454 kilometre post, whereupon the driver immediately applied the emergency brakes. All four locomotives rode over the misalignment and remained on the track. However, the rest of the train derailed, with eight of the wagons toppling down the embankments on either side of the track. The leading locomotive came to a stand at approximately 454.400kms with the rear of the fourth locomotive standing at 454.324kms.
- 2.7 The assistant driver observed the passage of the train over the misalignment in a rear vision mirror and the subsequent derailment. He noted that the third and fourth locomotives shook violently before the leading wagon derailed. The driver recalled that various loose articles of equipment on the leading locomotive's dashboard were thrown onto the floor as the train rode over the misalignment,

## **After the Derailment**

- 2.8 Although shaken, neither of the crew members was injured by the derailment. Immediately after 4MV9 came to a stand, the driver attempted to contact Juneek Control, via the train's radio, to inform them of the incident. However, he was unable to establish contact on the radio and reverted to a mobile phone. At the same time, the assistant driver left the train and proceeded to lay protection<sup>7</sup> on the Up line at a point approximately 500m forward of the train.
- 2.9 After securing the locomotives, the driver then proceeded to place a track shorting clip on the Up line. This action changed signals before the incident site to stop. In the process, he observed that at least two wagons had come to rest in close proximity to the Up line and that damaged trees and wheel sets appeared to be foul of the line (See *Photo 2*). The driver and a local property owner, who had come to investigate the dust cloud from the incident, subsequently moved debris off the track.

---

<sup>7</sup> Audible Warning Devices are carried on the train as part of the emergency kit.




Photo 1: Looking towards the derailment site from the probable point at which the driver applied the emergency brakes.




Photo 2: Incident site indicating proximity of derailed wagons and debris to the Up line.

2.10 Having contacted Junee Control, the driver communicated with FAL officers at Junee to advise them of the incident. These officers later arrived at the site at 9:00pm. After ascertaining the location of other trains in the region, Junee Control advised the Signaller at Cootamundra to hold all South-bound trains at Cootamundra until further notice. Junee Control then advised the driver that there were no other trains in the



immediate area and that all others heading towards the incident site had been stopped.

- 2.11 Both crew members were breath tested by Cootamundra NSW Police at 10:30pm and returned negative results.

## Site Location

- 2.12 The incident occurred approximately two kilometres on the Sydney side of Bethungra (Lat. -34°45'00" Long. +147°52'00") (See Figure 1). Bethungra is located in the section between Cootamundra and Junee on the Main South corridor of the Defined Interstate Rail Network (DIRN)<sup>8</sup> between Sydney and Melbourne.




Figure 1: Bethungra Incident Location

- 2.13 This rail section is standard gauge, dual line, with a ruling gradient of 1:39. However, despite the section on the Bethungra grade being dual line, the Up and Down lines do not run in parallel. The Down<sup>9</sup> track descends the grade through a combination of cuttings and earth/rock embankments in a series of short straights and curves. The journey on the Up<sup>10</sup> track also adds another three kilometres distance to the journey between Melbourne and Sydney in comparison to the distance between Sydney and Melbourne.

<sup>8</sup> ARTC assumed responsibility for that portion of the DIRN that passes through NSW from the Rail Infrastructure Corporation in September 2004 as part of a 60 year lease arrangement with the NSW Government.

<sup>9</sup> Any track on which trains travel away from Sydney.

<sup>10</sup> Any track on which trains travel towards Sydney.



## Track Information

### Track

2.14 The derailment occurred on the Down track at kilometrage 454.036, at a point of transition into a 400m radius curve on a 1:44 falling gradient (See Figure 2).




Figure 2: Curve and Gradient Diagram of Down Track.

2.15 The line is classified, under ARTC's Engineering Standard TDS 11, as Class 1 main line. Class 1 tracks generally conform to the following standards:

Rail Section	53kg/m or 60kg/m	Rail Type	Continuous Welded Rail (CWR) or Long Welded Rail (LWR)
Nominal Ballast Depth	270mm	Sleeper Type	Timber/Steel
Ballast Grade	Standard	Maximum Axle Load	25 tonnes
Maximum Train Speed (Freight)	80km/h	Sleeper Spacing	600mm
Sleeper Spacing/Skew Tolerance	20mm	Number of sleepers per Km	1666
Spacing Of Sleepers at Rail Joints	430mm	Anchor Pattern (Timber Sleeper)	*See TDS 8

- 2.16 The line curved down the grade through a combination of cuttings and earth/rock formations in a series of short straights and curvatures varying from 390m to 800m radius. The derailment occurred on an embankment, the top of which was approximately six metres higher than the surrounding ground. The rails were secured to a mixture of wooden and steel sleepers by Pandrol clips or dog spikes (on the wooden sleepers) or by “Rex Lok” fastenings (on the steel sleepers). “Fair” type track anchors were also fitted, in a ratio varying from 1:2 to 1:4, to control longitudinal movement of the rails where there were timber sleepers. There was no ‘pattern’ to the placement of the steel sleepers.

### Operations Information

- 2.17 There were no temporary speed restrictions in place between Cootamundra and Junee at the time of the incident. The safe-working system for the section between Cootamundra and Junee is Rail Vehicle Detection System (RVDS). 4VM9 had a designated train path and signalled authority from Junee Control to traverse the section at the time of the incident.

### Weather Conditions

- 2.18 The crew described the weather conditions at the time of the derailment (8:05pm) as being dry and clear with a setting sun. Meteorological records established that the sun set at 8:22pm.
- 2.19 Weather conditions recorded at Cootamundra Airport (approximately 20kms away) at 3.00pm on the day were described as follows:

**Temperature:**..... 34.2 degrees Celsius

**Relative Humidity:** ..... 21%

**Air Pressure:** ..... 1006.2 HPa

**Wind:**..... NNW at 4 kph

**Cloud Cover** ..... 1/8<sup>th</sup>

- 2.20 The maximum and minimum temperatures recorded on the day were 35.4 degrees Celsius and 18.5 degrees Celsius respectively. However, temperatures recorded in the region on the night before the incident had fallen to a minimum of 9.6 degrees Celsius.

## Loss, Damage and Environmental Matters

- 2.21 The derailment destroyed a total of 288 metres of track and sleepers. All 11 wagons of 4VM9 derailed in the incident, with each wagon sustaining varying, but significant, degrees of damage to the wagon body and running gear. The contents of one wagon ejected through the end plate of the wagon hopper as the wagon came to an abrupt halt in a dry drainage causeway on the Eastern side of the track embankment. Officers from the NSW Environmental Protection Authority inspected the spilled cement and directed the construction of a coffer dam to contain the spill.
- 2.22 Unlike the wagons, the locomotives remained on the track, suffering only superficial damage to the coupler regions and left side underfloor equipment (See *Photos 3, 4 and 5*). Liquids collected in engine waste tanks had also splashed the underneath of each unit. The most noticeable damage occurred on the third and the fourth locomotives (T388 and T409) where various impact marks were apparent on their bogie frames and sanding valves. T409 also sustained damage to the air piping on its independent braking system.



Photo 3: Position of front automatic coupler indicating the extent of lateral forces.




Photo 4: Impact marks on leading bogie of T388 indicating degree of bogie/body movement



Photo 5: Impact marks on leading bogie and damage to piping of air brake system of T409

## **PART 3 ANALYSIS**

---

### **Crew Information**

- 3.1 4VM9 was operated by two experienced crew, based in Junee. Both crew members were very familiar with the route over which they were travelling and were within their respective medical and competency assessment periods. An analysis of their rosters indicated that they should not have been suffering from any form of work-related fatigue.

### **Train and Train Management**

- 3.2 An examination of the load documentation established that 4VM9 was operating within allowable load limits at the time of the incident. Inspections and measurements taken from the rolling stock and bogies indicated that their condition did not contribute to causing the derailment. However, interpretation of the data from 4VM9's event recorders was complicated by the fact that the clock on the leading locomotive (G528) was set approximately 19 minutes slow; the clock on the second locomotive (X48) was not working; there was no tape in the third locomotive (T388) and there were indications that the logger on the fourth locomotive (T409) may have been defective. Nonetheless, the speed trace on the data logger fitted to the leading locomotive revealed that 4VM9 reached speeds of up to 90km/h on several occasions after leaving Goulburn, and that it reached a maximum speed of 86km/h approximately 200m from the point of the reported misalignment, after which the emergency brakes were applied. These determinations are consistent with the version of events provided by 4VM9's crew. OTSI noted that the maximum allowable speed in this area was 80km/h.

### **Emergency Response**

- 3.3 The actions of 4VM9's crew after the derailment were timely and effective, and representatives from ARTC and FAL also responded in a timely and effective manner. However, OTSI was unable to find any record on voice tapes of Junee Control broadcasting an emergency message to alert drivers in the area to stop their trains, as required in ARTC Network Rule ANTR 400. OTSI also found that the Junee Control's communication was not consistent with protocols stipulated in Network Rule ANGE 204 and Network Procedure ANTR 721.

## Factors Affecting Track Condition

- 3.4 Rail track is complex in structure with high levels of co-dependence between components. It must be closely monitored to quickly detect and correct component and geometry defects. The decision to move from jointed to continuously welded rail in NSW was made on the assumption that the overall track structure would be kept strong and rigid. In the absence of strength and rigidity, thermal stresses cannot be contained within the rail. Keeping the track structure strong and rigid begins with the maintenance of a well drained, full depth and full width ballast profile made up of well-graded, sharp rock. The ballast, in turn, must firmly contain high quality, heavy sleepers with tight-fitting or preferably resilient rail fastenings holding rail that is properly adjusted to length for the designed neutral temperature. The rail must also be kept on a designed alignment and prevented from moving longitudinally or 'creeping'. The track structure must act as a whole. Any deficiency in any component quickly degrades the adjacent component and the overall geometry and integrity of the track. Any deficiencies in components must be detected early and corrected quickly to prevent consequential effects. This is especially significant on curves of less than 400m radius. In some overseas railways, such curves are either excluded from continuous welding programmes or provided with special compensating devices such as expansion switches. At the very least, the tighter curves are provided with superior componentry and subject to special construction/maintenance measures to provide additional resistance to the release of stress by lateral movement. Heavy concrete sleepers with resilient fastenings are commonly used in such applications.
- 3.5 The most critical of those factors which cause a track misalignment in continuously welded rail is stress within the rail. It is the feature of track stability that is least visible and most difficult to detect. In NSW, rail is correctly adjusted if it is stress-free at a rail temperature of 35 degrees Celsius (which in rail terms is known as the 'neutral' temperature). Good track structure will resist stresses induced by rail temperatures up to 75 degrees Celsius.
- 3.6 Without actually cutting the rail, indicators or symptoms of excessive stress can only be detected by careful observation. Trained observers will examine alignment, creep, scratch marks at anchors, anchors bearing against sleepers, bulking of ballast in cribs ahead of sleeper movement, slight gaps in loose ballast at sleeper ends or a depression in the crib ballast behind the sleeper. These observations are detectable only by detailed walking inspection and measurement. They are not visible to the untrained eye, or at speed from either a hi-rail or engine cab ride.

## Inspection and Maintenance Requirements

3.7 Track inspection requirements are contained in ARTC's Engineering Standard TEP 13 "Track Examination Handbook: System Overview". This standard stipulates that Class 1 lines must be inspected at the following intervals:

Inspection Type	Frequency	Inspection Requirements
Track Patrol	Twice Weekly (Maximum 3 Day Interval)	<p>Conducted by track vehicle to ensure:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are no obstructions to train movements or signalling equipment within (or potentially within) the structure gauge.</li> <li>There is continuity of rails (i.e. no broken rails or joints, or loose or foul joints).</li> <li>There are no imminent failures of track fastenings.</li> <li>There are no major geometry exceedents (of derailment potential) without suitable protection.</li> <li>There are no major deficiencies in supporting track structure (resulting from earthworks, bridges, structures, culverts, etc.).</li> <li>That permanent &amp; temporary speed signs are visible to train/track vehicle operators (are present, facing the right direction, not obscured by vegetation, graffiti, etc) &amp; that temporary speed boards have been placed correctly, are accurate (have the right plates in the right order &amp; working lights) &amp; are standing securely.</li> </ul>
Front of Engine	Monthly (Dependent on train running)	Non-specific examination to assist in the assessment of track by enabling the reaction of trains to the track structure to be observed (preferably at maximum allowable speed).
Detailed Walking	Each 3 Months	<p>Thorough examination of the components of the track structure &amp; the right of way to ensure that the components are satisfactory &amp; contribute to a safe railway. Items examined include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Track geometry and adjustment.</li> <li>Track components, including rails, fastenings, ties, joints, insulated joints, ballast profile and condition, lubricators.</li> <li>Turnouts and special track work.</li> <li>Bridge and structure conditions affecting track integrity.</li> <li>Earthworks &amp; drainage including geotechnical hazards.</li> <li>Right of Way including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fencing &amp; gates.</li> <li>Weed &amp; vermin control.</li> <li>Firebreak condition, fire hazard control, access roads.</li> <li>Vegetation fouling, or with the potential to foul the track.</li> <li>Drainage including waterways &amp; flooding.</li> <li>Check of any undermining of track or structures.</li> <li>Visibility, security &amp; clearances of Permanent &amp; Temporary Speed signs &amp; other trackside safety signs.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

- 3.8 In addition to the above, the maintenance regime requires staff to conduct a number of other regular track inspections. These inspections include:
- a. **Track geometry recording** by a track recording train. This inspection graphs the condition of the track: to identify locations where track geometry parameters are exceeded; to categorise the severity of any exceedences and to inform the need for temporary speed restrictions to be placed on the track.
  - b. **Sleeper inspection and marking.** This type of inspection examines the condition of the timber sleepers in the section and identifies those requiring renewal in the immediate future.
  - c. **Welded Track Stability Analysis (WTSA).** This is a series of measurements taken before winter and summer to identify areas of track instability, i.e., where there has been creep or pull-in that have the potential to buckle or break the rail in times of extreme temperature conditions.

### Actual Track Condition

- 3.9 The derailment destroyed 288 metres of track (See *Photo 6*). OTSI spent a considerable amount of time examining track sections between 453.000kms to 453.500kms, and 453.600kms to 454.500kms, i.e., either side of the derailment to establish what the general condition of the track might have been at the derailment site prior to the accident. It noted:
- a. There was evidence of “pull-in” of the track between 453.400kms and 453.600kms with the end faces on the Up side of the sleepers progressively being exposed. It appeared that this was not a recent phenomenon.
  - b. The rails in the damaged section had completely separated from all sleeper ties and all steel sleepers in this area were severely damaged or bent. The placement of steel sleepers was also irregular, varying from 1:3 to 1:12.
  - c. All timber sleepers damaged in the incident had shattered or splintered, indicating that the sleepers were aged and in a deteriorated condition. The condition of sleepers that were not damaged by the derailment generally varied from ‘fair’ to ‘poor’ as defined in ARTC Engineering Standard TMS 06, and there was no evidence of recent sleeper marking or renewal.
  - d. Most dog spikes examined were loose within the sleeper and easily moved by hand. This indicated that any longitudinal movement of the rails was only restrained by the steel sleepers or timber sleepers fitted with Pandrol clips.





Photo 6: Incident site looking towards Sydney showing extent of track damage.

- e. The anchorage pattern on the rails conformed to ARTC Engineering Standard TMP8. However, excessive clearance between the lower side anchor and the sleeper indicated prolonged or long-term creep of the rails down the grade (See *Photo 7*). In addition, there were instances where rail anchors had embedded into the high side of a number of timber sleepers, indicating that the anchorage of the rails was ineffective and that the track had crept downhill.
- f. The ballast condition in the section was clean and sharp with no evidence of sleepers having moved vertically.
- g. The ballast profile conformed generally to the standards, although excess ballast was observed on the Up side of the embankment formation in the vicinity of 453.800kms. ARTC inspection records had also noted the same issue.
- h. The track had misaligned, to the East, at 454.010kms (See *Photo 8*).
- i. There was a section of timber sleepers, with dog spike fastenings, immediately before the misalignment point. These sleepers were in a 'fair' condition but the rail was not effectively fastened to them.



- j. There was no sign of heavy wear on the gauge face of the high side rail, so it did not appear that the rail had been subjected to prolonged and steep angles of 'attack' by wheel flanges.
- k. The rails on the curved section of track where the derailment occurred had been painted white as part of a program to reduce the effect of heat on the track, but no other sections on the grade were treated in a similar way. This suggested to OTSI that the area of the derailment had been identified as vulnerable.




Photo 7: Indications of rail creep at 453.490kms.




Photo 8: Apex point of misalignment found after the incident.

3.10 Responsibility for the inspection and maintenance of that part of the DIRN which is located in NSW transferred from RIC to ARTC on 5 September 2004. OTSI sought documentation from ARTC to review the frequency of the track inspections and maintenance. ARTC's investigation report into the derailment identified the following matters of significance:

- a. There had been a misalignment at the same point in the summer of 2002-2003. The cause of the misalignment was attributed to the operations of a train similar in type to that which preceded 4VM9 through the section, however no evidence was provided to substantiate this. OTSI noted that the train in question did not appear to be overloaded; had been marshalled in accordance with normal practises, and that train management records indicated there was nothing unusual in its maintenance.
- b. Anchoring in the area was described as being "good". OTSI noted that, whilst the anchoring pattern was consistent with the requirements of Engineering Standard TMP 08, which requires single anchoring on every second sleeper (or every sleeper if necessary) on a falling gradient steeper than 1:80 in the direction of traffic, the anchoring itself was poor and would not have prevented rail creep.

- c. Acknowledgement of a “slight indication of the track creeping downhill”. However, analysis of the WSTA data for the period 2002-2004 showed that the indications were greater than “slight” as a total of 35mm of extra steel had migrated into the Up rail between 453.500kms and 454.000kms, whilst an extra 80mm had migrated into the Down rail the over the same period.
- d. Measurements reflected in the WTSA data, compared with those recorded using a specialised rail vehicle on 17 December 2004 (i.e., the ‘AK’ trace) and those taken following the derailment, indicated that the track did not curve smoothly; rather, it was made up of a series of arcs with varying radii either side of the design radius of 400m. Additionally, the AK trace indicated a significant sharpening of the curvature almost immediately before the point of derailment. It did not identify any exceedences requiring emergency repair but did identify a Category 4 exceedence of 21mm which required the imposition of an 80km/h temporary speed restriction and repair under normal programmed maintenance.
- e. Creep measurements taken in the vicinity of the derailment at the last WTSA indicated that 80mm of steel had entered the half-kilometre immediately prior to the point of derailment. This theoretically lowered the neutral temperature of the rail by approximately 13°C. WTSA “Track Location vs Design” records indicated that the track had pulled in by up to 60mm approximately 50m prior to the point of derailment. Pull-in of this magnitude on a 400m radius curve reduces the neutral temperature of the rail by an additional 10°C approximately.
- f. The track from 453.063kms to 453.902kms (approximately 130m prior to the point of derailment) had been resurfaced 16 days prior to the incident and the mechanical disturbance of the ballast formation during this resurfacing would have tended to promote the redistribution of localised compression stresses in the steel towards the “fixed” or undisturbed track lower down the grade.
- g. The net effect of the ‘creep’ and ‘pull-in’ would have reduced the effective neutral temperature of the rail from 35°C to approximately 12°C. This would have meant that the rail was highly stressed and containment of this stress was totally dependent on the quality of the sleepers, fastenings and the formation under the track. Further, the extent of the ‘creep’ and ‘pull-in’ had effectively reduced the radius of the curve from 400m to 100m. Whilst a properly formed and maintained curve with a 400m radius can be safely negotiated at 80 km/hr, a curve with a 100m radius would normally be negotiated at between 10-15km/h.



## Track Inspection and Maintenance Regimes

3.11 Records supplied by ARTC indicated that the following inspections or maintenance had been conducted in the section prior to the derailment:

- a. There had been a partial replacement of wooden sleepers with steel sleepers in 2000, but that this was on a one-for-one basis and as such, the steel sleepers were randomly placed.
- b. A number of minor defects noted in a previous run by a track recording train had been rectified in September 2004.
- c. The rails had previously been painted white, similar to a number of locations where there had previously been misalignments, with a view to reducing rail temperatures during summer.
- d. A detailed walking inspection of the Down track had been conducted between 450.000kms and 454.500kms on 23 November 2004. OTSI noted that the inspection report should have provided a significant amount of information, however, it provided few insights into the condition of the track. An observation in the area where the derailment subsequently occurred was limited to “needs sleepers”; there was no indication of how many sleepers were required or anything else that would have informed maintenance priorities.
- e. The condition of the track had been assessed by a track recording train over the section on 17 December 2004. A number of significant exceedences were detected but these were not in the vicinity of the derailment. A Category 4 exceedence was located at the area where the derailment subsequently occurred. Ordinarily, Category 4 exceedences require the application of an 80km/h temporary speed restriction and repairs to be effected under normal programmed maintenance. However in this instance, a permanent speed restriction of 80km/h was already in place in this area.
- f. A routine track patrol had been conducted by the local track gang on 20 December 2004. No reports of track defects or irregularities were recorded during this inspection.
- g. The track in the immediate area before (453.480kms to 454.010kms) the point of derailment had undergone resurfacing works on 6 December 2004. These works entailed disturbance of the ballast formation supporting the rails and sleepers to adjust the position of the track and the ride quality of trains passing over the area. A temporary speed restriction had been applied after these works and had

remained in place for the recommended period of time. The temporary restriction was removed prior to the derailment.

- 3.12 OTSI's on-site observations suggested that maintenance staff had not recognised a number of signs of degradation that should have been readily apparent. Two officers from the Independent Transport Safety Reliability and Regulator staff revisited the area of the derailment on 22 February 2006, in the company of two senior ARTC employees, and noted that the track in the area had been repaired using short welded rail affixed to new sleepers with resilient fastenings. They also noted that there were unsecured rails in a patch of six ineffective and consecutive sleepers on the Down track in the vicinity of 454.400. OTSI was subsequently advised by ARTC that a 50km/h speed restriction in the area where the derailment had occurred, applied on 5 January 2005, had been reduced to 20km/h on 11 January 2006 because of continuing concerns over the stability of the track. OTSI regards it as a matter of safety significance that 14 months after the derailment, readily identifiable defects continued to exist in the same area, and especially so since the area is part of the DIRN.

### **Transfer of Responsibility & Knowledge from RIC to ARTC**

- 3.13 Mindful of the fact that ARTC had only assumed responsibility for the DIRN on 5 September 2004, OTSI sought to establish what ARTC knew about the track conditions throughout NSW, and in the vicinity of Bethungra in particular, at the time it assumed responsibility for track operations and maintenance.
- 3.14 Under the terms of the lease agreement which saw it assume responsibility for the DIRN within NSW, ARTC was expected to assume and discharge its responsibilities over five phases, with phases 1 to 4 being completed by September 2009. This phasing recognised that the process of transferring responsibility; confirming the condition of the assets being transferred, and reviewing, and where necessary amending, inspection and maintenance regimes and capital works programs, was extremely complex.
- 3.15 At a meeting in December 2003, the CEO of ARTC alluded to the difficulties his Company was having in developing its understanding of the condition of those assets in NSW that were to be transferred from RIC. He acknowledged that ARTC's understanding of RIC's assets and the related safety capital expenditure commitments was limited and described his understanding of RIC's engineering commitments and its operational areas as "still scant". He also identified that ARTC had yet to receive RIC's detailed maintenance plan. These concerns were followed

up by the CEO of ITSRR with the Chairman of RIC in January 2004. The Chairman of RIC responded in February 2004 advising that RIC had established a database of ARTC's requests which indicated that ARTC had been provided with all of the relevant safety material it had requested. The Chairman also invited ARTC to contact RIC's project manager with specific requirements.

- 3.16 In April 2004, to develop its understanding of what ARTC knew about the condition of the DIRN at the time of the handover and what it had done subsequently in light of that knowledge, OTSI met with a number of senior ARTC managers who had been, and remain, directly associated with the transition. The managers described the transition/handover period as being difficult and the degree of co-operation extended by RIC as varying. They cited difficulties in accessing the rail corridor to conduct inspections and the incomplete or poor quality of records as matters that had limited their visibility of the condition of the asset leading up to, and during, the transition. OTSI was also concerned by comments made in relation to the quality of the asset register that was being transferred to ARTC. When asked to describe their level of knowledge of track conditions at the time they assumed responsibility for the track, the ARTC managers indicated that they had inadequate visibility of track and related infrastructure conditions at the time. OTSI asked the same managers whether all of the material provided to ARTC had been fully reviewed and they acknowledged it had not. OTSI then suggested that, given that ARTC had not been able to review the information that had been provided by RIC, any further information provided would have added significantly to the challenge that confronted ARTC during the transition. ARTC's managers agreed with this proposition.
- 3.17 The then Executive-Director, Transport Safety Regulation, ITSRR, confirmed in discussion with OTSI that the handover between RIC and ARTC had been difficult. He also confirmed that ARTC had represented its concerns to ITSRR in a number of contexts regarding the non-receipt of information. He noted that ARTC had sought to source RIC information through ITSRR but that he had advised ARTC that this was not appropriate and that non-receipt of information did not absolve ARTC from its responsibilities to exercise due diligence prior to the assumption of responsibility for the DIRN. In the absence of what they considered should constitute full disclosure by RIC, ARTC engaged a number of overseas consultants to conduct independent assessments of areas that had the potential to expose ARTC to the highest levels of risk, i.e., bridges, steep inclines and sharp curves. In addition, ARTC conducted its own asset condition sampling throughout the DIRN.

- 3.18 OTSI's review of the transitional arrangements was confined to those lines of inquiry described in paragraphs 3.13 to 3.17, but it confirmed that, notwithstanding the merits of the different perspectives put by ARTC and RIC, they have not been without difficulties. Some difficulties were attributed to the condition of the asset itself. OTSI noted in the context of a report commissioned to assist the NSW Government's consideration of the ARTC bid, that the condition of main-line routes reflected the maintenance of rail corridors that were "typically designed and constructed before 1960 (and frequently before 1930)". The report also observed that "In each state where ARTC had assumed control of the rail asset, substantial deficiencies in safety critical components had been identified. It is likely NSW will also contain undetected infrastructure flaws which impact on rail safety."
- 3.19 Throughout its inquiries into asset condition, OTSI was surprised to learn that ARTC is not obliged to provide any form of periodic asset condition report to ITSRR. Whilst a number of ITSRR's technical staff are actively engaged in reviewing and confirming aspects of asset condition, i.e., signalling, bridges and track, there is no mechanism that provides ITSRR with overall visibility of the condition of the track and infrastructure in NSW. This is not to suggest that ITSRR should be compiling an asset condition report, but rather that it should be provided with such reports by those operating the asset. Given the problems associated with the transfer of the asset, both in NSW and in other States, the absence of such reporting limits the extent to which NSW can protect its interests as the owner of the asset.

## PART 4 FINDINGS

---

4.1 In relation to those matters prescribed by the Terms of Reference as the principal lines of inquiry, OTSI finds as follows:

a. **Causation:**

- i. 4VM9 derailed when it traversed an area of misaligned and unstable of track.
- ii. The derailment occurred where the rail had been stressed for a considerable period of time and that track resurfacing work 16 days prior to the derailment would have exacerbated these stresses.
- iii. The initiation of emergency braking, at a speed 6km/h in excess of the posted speed limit, when the misalignment first became apparent, would have imparted additional stress to the track. However, the magnitude of this stress would not have been significant had the track been stable and properly aligned.

b. **Anticipation and Management of Risk:**

- i. Two of the primary defences designed to prevent such occurrences, track inspections and track maintenance, failed over time, and a third defence, of managing an area with known deficiencies through the imposition of speed limits, was not employed.
- ii. There were clear indications available to RIC, and subsequently ARTC, to suggest track instability in the area where the derailment occurred, but that these indicators were either unnoticed or not actioned.
- iii. Track inspection records for the previous 12 months, compiled by RIC and ARTC, implied that there were few problems within the section in which the derailment occurred. However, OTSI's inspections of a relatively small area within the section revealed that there were significant defects and that these were not a recent phenomena, suggesting that both RIC's and ARTC's inspections had been less than thorough.
- iv. The transition of assets, records and systems from RIC to ARTC was problematic and that ARTC did not enjoy good visibility of the condition of the asset at the time of handover of responsibility, or indeed at the time of the derailment.
- v. As at 22 February 2006, readily identifiable defects continued to exist in the area in which this derailment occurred.



**c. Effectiveness of the Emergency Response:**

- i. The actions of 4VM9's crew after the derailment were both timely and effective.
- ii. Junee Control did not observe the requirement, in ARTC Network Rules (ANTR 400), to send an emergency broadcast message about the incident to alert other train drivers in their area of control.
- iii. The standard of communications employed by Junee Control did not conform to the requirements stipulated in Network Rule ANGE 204 and Network Procedure ANTR 721.

**d. Other Matters that would Enhance the Safety of Rail Operations:**

- i. 4VM9's data-loggers were not fully functional on the day of the accident. This is a further example of an observation OTSI has made that rail operators in NSW are paying insufficient attention to the requirement to ensure event recorders on board their locomotives are properly fitted and are regularly inspected, serviced and calibrated.
- ii. ITSRR does not have sufficient visibility of the condition of the track and related infrastructure in NSW and that, whilst it can and does undertake a range of actions to gain such visibility, the onus for providing ITSRR with such visibility should largely rest with those responsible for its operation, i.e., ARTC, RailCorp and CountryRIC.
- iii. The phased transition of responsibility from RIC to ARTC continues and the attendant risks are of a magnitude which suggests that milestones and changes to plans, systems, policies and procedures should be subject to close oversight by ITSRR.

## PART 5 RECOMMENDATIONS

---

5.1 In order to prevent a recurrence of this type of accident, the following remedial safety actions are recommended for implementation by the organisations specified below:

**a. Australian Rail Track Corporation:**

- i. Provide an assurance to ITSRR that all track related defects in the area of the derailment have been fully repaired.
- ii. Review the competencies and training of those responsible for the conduct of track inspections and track maintenance to ensure that:
  - (1) track inspections are conducted in the scheduled timeframes and track maintenance is conducted in the prescribed manner, and
  - (2) staff can accurately recognise and interpret track related deficiencies and defects.
- iii. Review the competencies and training of those responsible for the interpretation of data obtained from track inspections and the WTSA (Welded Track Stability Analysis) process to ensure that risk can be appropriately identified, categorised and managed.
- iv. Audit inspection and maintenance programs to ensure that they are timely and comprehensive, and are performed to specified standards.
- v. Improve formal communication procedures at Junee Control, and elsewhere as required.
- vi. Reinforce the requirements of Network Rule ANTR 400 to ensure emergency broadcast messages are made immediately after incidents are reported, where appropriate.

**b. Pacific National Limited**

- i. Ensure that event recorders are properly fitted to its locomotives and that they are regularly inspected, maintained and calibrated.

**c. Independent Transport Safety and Reliability Regulator:**

- i. Require infrastructure operators to provide it with an annual report identifying the condition of track and signalling related assets they operate on behalf of the Government of NSW.

- ii. Require ARTC to provide an annual report identifying the status of the various programs by which it will assume full responsibility for the operation and maintenance of assets transferred from RIC.
- iii. Monitor ARTC's track management systems and maintenance plans to ensure compliance with the related standards and accreditation requirements.
- iv. Reinforce the requirement for rolling stock operators in NSW to have properly fitted and regularly inspected, serviced and calibrated event recorders in their locomotives.